Ecologically engineering living shorelines for high energy coastlines

<u>Christine Angelini</u>¹, Ada Bersoza Hernandez¹, Deidre Herbert¹, Emily Astrom¹, Patrick Norby¹, Greg Kusel¹, Scott Wasman¹, Raymond Grizzle², Nikki Dix Pangle³, Alex Sheremet¹ ¹Engineering School of Sustainable Infrastructure & Environment, University of Florida; ²Jackson Lab, University of New Hampshire, ³Guana Tolomato Matanzas National Estuarine Research Reserve



c.angelini@ufl.edu



Ocean ship traffic up 300% worldwide since 1990



Large container ships & fishing vessels





Boats



Boats

Boats

Boats

Boats











Small boat traffic rarely monitored, but on the rise Ecological effects unknown



Studies examining the effects of wakes on turbidity & wetland erosion

Sorenson 1973; Zabawa & Ostrom 1980; Nanson et al. 1994; Osborne & Boak 1999;Castillo et al. 2000; Parnell & Kofoed-Hanson 2001; Bauer et al. 2002; Grizzle et al. 2002; McConchie & Toleman 2003; Glamore 2008; Houser 2010; Tonelli et al. 2010; Bilkovic et al. 2017

Black text= primary literature/ Grey text = grey literature

Experts in SE US indicate boat traffic in estuaries is high



📕 Low boat traffic (1-24 vessels passing through navigable boat channels per ... 🗧 No boat traffic



Intracoastal Waterway (ICW)

3,000 miles of natural waterways & dredged channels

Artery for commerce & recreation

Boat highway through low-energy coastal wetlands











Intracoastal Waterway (ICW) shoreline

Silliman et al. in review

Salt marsh retreating ~ 1m per year

No intertidal oysters

Loss of habitat & ecosystem services

Intracoastal Waterway (ICW) shoreline



 What is the wake climate in this Florida estuary?
Can we engineer 'living shorelines' to dissipate boat wakes & protect shorelines?

Boat traffic & wake climate in ICW

Tracked boats:

- Automatic Identification System (AIS) transponder data
 - # boats per day

All boats (tracked & non-tracked):

- Nortek Vector Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter
- Wakes characterized (Sheremet et al. 2012)
 - # wakes per day, max. wake height





Boat traffic recorded by AIS & Vector: Nov. 17 – Dec 5, 2017

Experiment location



Tracked boats common, but non-tracked boats far more common



Even higher boat traffic recorded from April through July



Justin Dahl, Bethune-Cookman University MSc Thesis, 2016

Maximum wake height



Maximum wake height



Can we protect shorelines from boat traffic without armoring?

Poor habitat connectivity



Many living shoreline designs fail





Paired living shoreline & unmanipulated controls at 6 sites of varying channel width 1 year pre-treatment + 1 year of post-treatment monitoring







Semi-permeable branch-filled break walls



Oyster shell-filled gabions

Dutch brush-filled 'groynes' used for salt marsh creation & land reclamation in fetch-dominated systems

De Groot & van Duin 2013

Strong waves & currents Sediment deposition & salt marsh formation

Are the break walls dissipating wakes?



Challenges with Wake Analyses

Wind waves: stationary; homogeneous; isotropic. Change slowly in time/space/ do not have preferential directions

Powerful statistics to characterize wind waves: e.g., define mean height, period, wavelength.

Ship waves (wakes): intermittent, nonstationary; localized in space; directional

Statistical description is difficult.

Goal

- 1) Define essential wake characteristics
- Develop statistical description of wakes
- Use these to study wake transformation & evaluate the effectiveness of breakwaters.



Measuring boat wakes: March 2018 **Offshore-onshore array of ADVs**



Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter (Nortek Vector); surface elevation and 3D velocity (East, North, Up); sampling 8 Hz.

Nortek Vector array deployment: March 4, 2018



Identify wakes in pressure & flow velocity records as '*chirps*' Chirp = signal in which frequency increases or decreases with time



Lots of wakes, funny spectrogram shapes! Sub = subcritical wakes (boat speed < wave speed) Super = supercritical wakes (boat speed > wave speed)

Two families of waves created by boats

Diverging waves: wedge, crests separate with distance resulting in longer waves
Transversal waves: parallel crests; ~ constant wave length

Surface elevation sensor (i.e. the ADV & red dot in image below) detects water velocity profile along the dashed red line and records:

- Diverging waves as a **chirp** = signal with shifting (increasing) frequency.
- Transversal wave as a **monochromatic wave**: nearly constant frequency.



Wake Analysis

- a) Spectrogram = frequency content as a function of time.
- b) Free surface elevation as a function of time.
- 1. chirp = diverging wave
- 2. monochromatic tail = transversal wave
- 3. high-frequency component
- 4. low-frequency component
- 1 and 2 are generated by ship
- 3 and 4 are generated during the shoaling transformation of the wake

Shoaling transformation induced by decreasing channel depth

Understanding the <u>shoaling transformation</u> is key for evaluating breakwater efficiency. Typically:

- short (high-freq.) waves: efficiently dissipated
- long (low freq.) waves: dissipated less.



Measure energy Frequency (Hz) .0 flux of chirp, transvers wave and low & high frequency Frequency (Hz) components in each wake at each sensor

d





Chirp flux far larger

Breakwalls significantly reduce flux in all 3 components

The wall dissipated this wake





Still lots to do to understand:

Boat speed Boat size Channel bathymetry Tidal phase (ebb currents > flood) Sediment suspension Breakwall porosity

HF = high frequency waves LF = low-frequency waves



FUNWAVE TVD: fully nonlinear Boussinesq wave model initially developed by Kirby et al. (1998).

Goal: compare fieldcollected data on boat wake behavior to model output

> Approach to evaluate breakwall effectiveness under different bathymetry, tidal height, boat size & speeds

Test 1: field experiment using CESD lab vessel: Free surface elevation.

Test 1: field experiment using CESD lab vessel: Flow velocity.

6"

On-going analyses of backscatter: Significant increase in turbidity after wake...

How is the channel bathymetry changing?

Q-Boat 1800[™] Teledyne Oceanscience Remotely-Operated Instrumentation Boat on Ioan from US Navy Research Lab

Bathymetry scans using Teledyne Q-boat indicate significant erosion of intertidal bed

Breakwall longevity

Wakes loosen branches

Maintenance time-intensive

- Site location
- Branch supply
- Heavier duty equipment
 - Post installation
 - Securing branches

Bio-fouling & Shipworm Infestation

- Shipworms infest surface
- Barnacles foul higher
- Variable across sites
- Reduced by duct tape

Bersoza & Angelini in review

80

Distance from the sediment (cm)

Distance from the sediment (cm)

How have oyster reefs and salt marshes responded to the breakwalls?

BESE versus Gabions

Very few oysters in 2017!

Gabions: Great Recruitment

Frequency (%)

Tracking salt marsh response: 15 poles, 1m apart

Post breakwall construction: overall trend of progradation **Especially in breakwall treatments**

Study Take-Home Messages

1) Boats impose an artificial wave climate that is driving loss of ecosystems & services

Most damaging stress in some waterways

2) Semi-permeable breakwalls can dissipate wakes

- Shipworms pose a threat to long-term durability
 - Stimulate oyster growth & marsh progradation

3) Construction & maintenance costs likely outweigh avoided loss of habitat & sediment

Collaborators: Emily Astrom, Deidre Herbert, Nikki Dix, Kaitlyn Deitz, Alex Sheremet, Scott Wasman, Patrick Norby, Gregory Kusel, Ada Bersoza Hernandez, Ilgar Safak Manpower: GTM NERR Staff & Volunteers, Sean Sharp, Kim Prince, Sinead Crotty, Audrey Batzer, Emma Johnson Permitting: Janice Price & US Army Corps of Engineering Coastal Permitting Division

AIS-tracked boats

Herbert et al. *in preparation*

Numerical simulations

Model: FUNWAVE TVD, fully nonlinear Boussinesq wave model initially developed by Kirby et al. (1998).

Authors:

Fengyan Shi, James T. Kirby and Babak Tehranirad, Center for Applied Coastal Research, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Delaware

Jeffrey C. Harris, Department of Ocean Engineering, University of Rhode Island

Matt Malej, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center

Goal: compare field-collected data to model output

Model input data: bathymetry; boat information (length, width, draft, track with time stamps)

Validation data: location of wave instruments; time series data of pressure and velocity

Dead oyster 'rakes' along the ICW

Rakes stand 1m above high water line Detected in 1940's Now pervasive along ICW

Grizzle et al. 2002

Unmanipulated control shorelines

3, 60cm-tall break walls + oyster structures

6 sites of varying channel width

1 year pre-treatment monitoring

1 yr of post-treatment monitoring

Maintained every 3-5 months

Shipworm Damage: Far higher close to mud line & in softer woods

Varied between sites & years

Field experiment: understanding shipworm dynamics

4 common tree species Multiple distances from sediment Replicated at 2 estuaries & 2 years

Prior to break wall construction, marsh retreat widespread Erosion rate varied considerably across sites

