#### **COLLABORATIVE SCIENCE FOR ESTUARIES** WEBINAR SERIES

#### **David Sutherland**

University of Oregon

#### **Emily Eidam** University of North Carolina

**Jenni Schmitt** South Slough NERR

## Improved Understanding of Sediment Dynamics for the Coos Estuary



**National Estuarine** Research Reserve System Science Collaborative

Date: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 Time: 4:00-5:00 PM ET



## Improved Understanding of Sediment Dynamics for the Coos Estuary

#### Dave Sutherland, Jenni Schmitt, & Emily Eidam

Ali Helms, SSNERR Dave Ralston, WHOI Peter Ruggiero, OSU Freelin Reasor, Coos Watershed Association Molly Keogh, Bass Dye, Ted Conroy, U Oregon ...and more!











- **Estuary Importance:**
- Industry Timber, agriculture, fishing, aquaculture
- <u>Recreation</u> fishing, shellfishing, kayaking, crabbing
- Ecology wetland habitats, tide flats, nursery grounds
- <u>Cultural</u> three federally recognized tribes have roots in the Coos estuary











## Project goal



- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has **changed** over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned





Summary of project data, links, papers, products, movies, etc., here:

## http://www.nerrssciencecollaborative.org/project/Sutherland16



- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has changed over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned

## Bathymetry



- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has changed over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned

## Bathymetry



#### 2017 Coos Bay Bathy

2 1194 1196 1198 1200 1202 1204 1206 1208 Easting NAD83 (ORSP-S, km)

- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has changed over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned

## Bathymetry



- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has **changed** over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned

## **Bathymetry**

Fina	
	43.44
	43.43
	43.42
	43.41
	43.4
	43.39
	43.38
C	43.37
0	43.36
	43.35
	43.34
4.38 -124.36 -	-124

#### • During and post-project, bathymetry product has become the most widely shared deliverable

#### I product at 2-meter horizontal resolution





- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has **changed** over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned

## **Bathymetry**

- First-order input into the simulations ('models')
- Essential to getting 'realistic' simulations, i.e., validation against observations



# hydrodynamic and sediment

43.34



- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has **changed** over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned

## Hydrodynamic model (currents and salinity)



**Surface salinity** Winter 2014



- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has changed over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned



2.04 2.02 2 1.98 northing 1.96 1.94 1.92 1.9 1.88 1.86 1.185

### Incorporation of sediment into the model







- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has changed over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned

### Incorporation of sediment into the model

- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has changed over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned





#### 1895 NOAA Map





- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has changed over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned



Historic chart from Coast Survey; digitization by Kira Bartlett, UO Honors Thesis

- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has changed over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned

The estuarine area has decreased since the mid-1800s, but mean depths have also increased. What are the implications for sedimentation?



Eidam et al., 2020



### Three different bathymetry maps used to run the water + sediment model

Historic (1861/1895)



80% of present volume 114% of present area West channel depth ~22 ft West channel depth ~37 ft

Modern

Proposed

103% of present volume West channel depth 41-49 ft

- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has changed over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned

## Changes in sediment transport (mid-1800s to present)

Sediment is preferentially routed into the navigation channel (historically, it was broadly distributed across the intertidal flats)





- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has changed over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned

## **Changes in sediment transport** (mid-1800s to present)

The estuary now sees greater propagation of saltwater up the Coos River, and formation of a stronger "Estuarine **Turbidity Maximum**" (ETM: zone of high concentration) in the primary channel.



Example of modeled sediment concentrations and salinity profiles along the navigation channel and up Marshfield Channel







- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has changed over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned

## **Changes in sediment transport** (mid-1800s to present)

Based on modeling during high-discharge events, the estuary may retain more sediment now than in the past





- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has changed over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned









#### partnershipforcoastalwatersheds.org





- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has **changed** over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals
- Inspired new collaborative projects that build upon lessons learned

#### **Example project deliverables**

- > Bathymetric dataset

- $\succ$  Effect of proposed dredging on estuarine dynamics
- $\succ$  Initial particle tracking simulations to simulate oyster retention
- $\succ$  and more...!



**Generated many new questions!** → How do we deliver on stakeholder needs that came up during project?

> GIS maps of salinity levels at select locations or averaged in time

> Exhibit panel at local museum and aquarium in Charleston, OR

> Deposition/erosion hotspot locations in South Slough



#### LOOKING TO THE PAST, TO UNDERSTAND THE FUTURE: Changes to the Coos Bay Estuary

#### Shoreline Change

The Coos Bay estuary is a key port oporting a diverse range of life ch as ovsters, crabs, salmon, and atically through the addition



spoils placed on east tida flats and south side of

Marshfield Channel

1940 - Creation of Regional Airport, leadin to the constriction of

1940 -1944 - Coos Rive e-routed from discharging onto east tidal flats, into new primary flow path

950 - Construction of

#### **Bathymetric Change**

the jetty. Near the entrance of estuary, the main channel has migrated northward from its historic location. Overtime through dredging practices, the main pavigation

- al estuary area reduced 12% from 58.7 km<sup>2</sup> in mid 1800s to 51 km<sup>2</sup> a esent (equivalent to a loss of 1700 acres)
- rimary navigation channel deepened from 6.7 m to 11 m (64% increased



- Developed and validated a hydrodynamic and sediment model for Coos Bay
- Used this model to explore how estuary has **changed** over past 150 years in terms of tidal range, salt intrusion length, sedimentation, etc.
- Furthered collaborations with end-users and stakeholders to enable future science and policy goals

**Inspired new collaborative** projects that build upon lessons learned

#### **Example project deliverables**

- > Bathymetric dataset

- $\succ$  Effect of proposed dredging on estuarine dynamics
- $\succ$  Initial particle tracking simulations to simulate oyster retention
- $\succ$  and more...!



> GIS maps of salinity levels at select locations or averaged in time

> Exhibit panel at local museum and aquarium in Charleston, OR

> Deposition/erosion hotspot locations in South Slough



#### **LOOKING TO THE PAST, TO UNDERSTAND THE FUTURE:** Changes to the Coos Bay Estuary

#### Shoreline Change

The Coos Bay estuary is a key port porting a diverse range of life ch as ovsters, crabs, salmon, and



poils placed on east tic flats and south side of

Marshfield Channel

1940 - Creation of egional Airport, leadin to the constriction of

1940 -1944 - Coos Riv -routed from dischargin onto east tidal flats, into ew primary flow path

950 - Construction of

#### **Bathymetric Change**

the jetty. Near the entrance of estuary, the main channel has migrated northward

- al estuary area reduced 12% from 58.7 km<sup>2</sup> in mid 1800s to 51 km<sup>2</sup>a esent (equivalent to a loss of 1700 acres)
- rimary navigation channel deepened from 6.7 m to 11 m (64% increa

#### **Generated many new questions!**

→ How do we deliver on stakeholder needs that came up during project?



**New project:** Buried or fried? Understanding sedimentation and temperature effects on native species restoration in the South Slough National Estuarine Research Reserve and the Coos estuary



#### What are we doing?

- SWMP time-series analysis
- Adding water temperature as variable in hydrodynamic model
- Collecting new sediment cores near/around existing eelgrass and oyster beds
- Learning from our past projects (and mistakes!)

#### **Identified end-users:**

- Charleston Marine Life Center
- Coos County
- South Slough NERR
- CTCLUSI & Coquille Tribes
- OR Dept Fish & Wildlife
- OR Dept State Lands



3

6 Miles

**Data Collection Locations** 

Additional locations upstream

#### Legend

- Water quality station
- Surface elev. table (RSET)

Sediment Core Type

- Deep and shallow
- Deep core
- Shallow monthly





#### **Summary and lessons learned**

- Early and often end-user engagement pays off
- Building basic science tools that are relevant to applied science questions pays off
- But many difficulties remain:
  - Science translation: mismatch between scientific analyses and outputs compared to applied questions
  - Overpromising: focus on simple, realistic goals and deliverables









Dave Sutherland, Molly Keogh, Maria Jose Marin Jarrin (UO) Emily Eidam, Tyler Souza (UNC-CH)

Dave Ralston (WHOI)

Jenni Schmitt, Ali Helms, Keary Howley (CTCLUSI, SSNERR)





#### **Project page:** http://www.nerrssciencecollaborative.org/project/Sutherland16

## **Please get in touch!**

**Dave:** dsuth@uoregon.edu **Emily:** efe@unc.edu Jenni: jenni.schmitt@dsl.state.or.us



## Have a question? Use the "Questions" function to pose questions throughout the webinar.



David Sutherland University of Oregon



**Emily Eidam** University of North Carolina



Jenni Schmitt South Slough NERR, OR



#### National Estuarine Research Reserve System Science Collaborative

	File View Help ⊕• _□⊡×
	T Audio
	Sound Check = IIQ ?
	Computer audio
	Phone call
	MUTED
	Transmit (2- Plantronics Savi 7xx) V
	Speakers (2- Plantronics Savi 7xx) 🗸
	▼ Questions 1
	[Enter a question for staff]
	Send
	Webinar Now
	Webinar ID: 200-167-467
David Ralston	<b>GoTo</b> Webinar
Institution	

#### For the upstream of Coos River inside the model domain, what is the source for the One difference between the historic and present conditions is the amount of diking bathymetry? that has happened in the Coos River. How does that influence sediment flow? • A: Jet skis could get fairly far up into the channel. We tried to extend the model

• A: Presumably that would impose some limitation on how much sediment was getting domain all the way to the head of the tide. We set a constant depth and didn't try to into the bay because some of the sediment might be trapped behind the dikes. If you actually mimic what the real bathymetry or topography would be; this is often assume that the majority source of sediment is being flushed from steep hill slopes something you have to do with a model like this. further up-river, there could be a secondary effect of how those dikes impact circulation within the estuary. There have been other studies related to that where Was the salinity related to sediment dynamics? you see local intensification of circulation near the dikes and you can get sediment • A: That's a very important aspect of estuarine dynamics and estuarine sediment piling up on the seaward side of those dikes. You could collect sediment cores there to see how it's changed. transport - how salinity affects circulation patterns, stratification, and how that affects

turbulence and resuspension of sediment.

I know this is beyond the scope of the project, but I am curious if you had a chance to look into how historical changes in the estuary have affected the hydrodynamics (thinking about the plume as always) and sediment dynamics of the coastal ocean in the region.

• A: We didn't try to look outside the estuary mouth, a bit by design. We weren't trying to simulate what was happening in the coastal ocean. What we did look at were changes in hydrodynamics between the historic and present-day case. You can learn more about that in Emily's paper here:

https://nerrssciencecollaborative.org/resource/impacts-150-years-shoreline-and-bathy metric-change-coos-estuary-oregon-usa

#### Q&A

#### Were you able to model erosion of mud flats?

• A: To some extent, yes. We put a couple different sediment classes in the model. The one limitation of the model is it's not really resolving processes happening when the water depth is less than 40 cm. We didn't necessarily model every process that's happening, though we have a good sense for the changes in bathymetry and how the river and estuary are delivering sediment to the tidal flats.

#### What kind of expertise does it take to run this model?

• A: The model is open source so getting the code is easy, but the biggest hurdle is getting the computational power to run it. We ran it on University of Oregon's cluster supercomputer. It also takes some knowledge of estuarine dynamics to understand the model and run it properly.







As most of our estuaries are undergoing nav channel deepening/widening required to support the local ports, what is your takeaway for impacts and how to avoid/minimize them?

• A: The impacts we see are common to a lot of estuaries where you're creating accommodation space for the deposition of sediment, which then necessitates more maintenance dredging. We've certainly seen that in the eastern part of the channel. On the western channel, when the estuary gets deepened it causes a bit of a reduction in tidal current. On the one hand, you might be able to trap sediment more easily, but net migration of sediment there might be more seaward.

In most of these estuaries, if they're building something new on one part of the estuary, it will probably require a restoration or mitigation effort in some other part of the estuary.

Can you comment on the expected difference in sediment dynamics that may occur in response to: (1) continued maintenance dredging, versus (2) major deepening and widening of the primary tidal channel?

• A: That's a fairly complicated thing to try and predict. They would probably need to do continued maintenance dredging just because the estuary is going to continue trying to fill in those channels.

For deepening and widening, there's evidence to suggest you might get more a bit more sediment accumulation but there are a lot of interrelated hydrodynamic effects occurring too.

#### Q&A

#### When you say that thalweg becomes a sink for sediments, are all parts of the channel equally depositional in the model results?

• A: No - the thalweg accumulates little mud within 0-10 km of the estuary entrance (10 km corresponds roughly to the south end of the airport). From 10 km to the intersection with Marshfield Channel (the approximate limit of dredging in the main channel), there is a gradual increase in mud that is deposited. This is likely related to the presence of an ETM in this region, and the settling of fine-grained sediment routed down Marshfield Channel.

#### From the sediment dynamics model results, it seems indicating the estuary more effectively flushes the sediment concentrations out of the system. Is my understanding correct?

• A: In the lower parts of the estuary, the sediment is fairly well-flushed from the system. In the upper reaches (small side embayments and broad intertidal flats), there is likely more trapping in the modern case because of changes in sediment routing and changes in tidal asymmetry (e.g., small embayments are more flood-dominant now, and the intertidal flats now receive sediment sourced from the ETM located adjacent to the north end of the flats).







#### **Comments**

- see increment effects.
- Super interesting presentation--thank you so much!
- Excellent presentation!
- Ο
- Excellent presentation. Thank you all.

• The channel went through a series of deepenings. Would be nice to apply the model in progression to

David, thank you for your presentation. Glad to see your model results and enjoyed the presentation.