# MSiT Workshop 2

Note, slides do not reflect all activities, and select slides and images were removed.



#### **Browns Island**



What is this marsh?

#### Miwok Meadows

# Marsh Sediment in Translation

A Collaborative Project to Broaden the Impacts of Marsh-Sediment Research at China Camp State Park "Sediment transport in nearshore environments is often misunderstood." Our hope is that by working together on this project we will:

- Generate substantial discussion of sediment transport and its importance to salt marshes
- Incorporate suggestions and requests of regional collaborators (= You!) into a draft product
- Develop an effective communication product based on the data collected at China Camp
- Increase understanding and awareness of sediment transport in the nearshore environment

## MSIT project process and timeline

Project Team	<u>Collaborators</u>	
Prepare workshop	Initial survey	
Kickoff workshop to set course		Proj
Draft science transfer products & evaluation form	One-on-one consultations as specific issues arise	Project Period
Consultation with NERRS Research Sector		
Revise science transfer products	Provide feedback on draft products	
Final workshop to improve the publication		
Deliver webinar	Final survey	
Submit publication		

**Figure 1.** Iterative steps underlying our collaborative process. Orange = collaborative engagement. Light blue = data translation and product development. Dark blue = final science transfer. — January 2021

- April 2021
- May June 2021
- July September 2021

February 2022
March 2022

## Marsh Sediment in Translation (MSiT) Workshop Part #2

# Agenda

Welcome and project update

Examples of draft products and approaches

Break

Breakout group discussions

Full group discussion

Additional Q&A time

# Today's Objectives

Share potential data translation products and approaches

• Gather feedback from you on design and clarity

 Discuss steps and strategies for increasing usefulness of products and broadening the impact of marsh-sediment studies

#### Matt Ferner - 1m MSIT Workshop: How is each process relevant to sediment management and tidal marsh restoration actions?



- Transport processes differ for subsided and healthy marshes.
   Most restoration is in subsided marshes
- Regional issues vs local issues: different information needs
- Connection of marshes to mudflats: sediment supply from shallows.
- Connection of marshes to local watersheds

#### **Connecting processes to restoration actions**

- Spatial variation across estuary of SSC and sediment accretion: importance of regional monitoring
- Wave damping by vegetation: Use vegetation to support restoration: plant *S. foliosa* in low marsh zone. Vegetation health and density matter.
- If mudflats are providing sediment to marshes, what about mudflat depletion?
- Promote mudflat sedimentation through augmentation and offshore structures

- How do creek size, morphology, density affect sediment flux?
- How would coarser sediment influence sediment supply at the marsh edge?
- Temporary storage of sediment: on mudflats, in tidal creeks.

#### Matt Ferner 🔹 1m

MSIT Workshop: What management actions are most appropriate for the marsh types below?



#### **Evaluate and prioritize restoration sites based on:**

- Sediment supply (ambient SSC, local watersheds)
- Aggrading or stable marsh edge (not eroding)
- Space for upland migration

#### Management actions across all marsh types:

- Allow for upland migration
- Smart use of vegetation: to attenuate waves at marsh edge, to accelerate sediment import, wide sloping transition zones (ramp)
- Can density or design of tidal creeks be optimized?

#### Matt Ferner 🔹 1m

#### MSIT Workshop: What management actions are most appropriate for the marsh types below?



# Product Audience

- People who make decisions on marsh-sediment management and restoration
  - Land owners
  - Land & resource managers
  - Restoration practitioners
  - Their stakeholders and colleagues

• We hope it will be useful to those outside of the target audience

# Draft data translation products (nuggets)

• The end product will contain an introduction and background

Design best practices are not followed (just yet)
 → We welcome ideas and comments on both the content and form

## Jamboards

- Add comment directly through sticky notes, text, doodles
- Try to keep notes in the margins
- Duplicate slides if they start to get crowded
- Ask question out loud. Notetakers will capture discussion.

# **Discussion Prompts:**

- 1. Are the illustrations clear and understandable?
- 2. Do the illustrations aid the understanding?
- 3. Is more background material needed?
- 4. How much of the "why" a process occurs is needed?
- 5. What additional information would you need (or would be helpful) to apply this information to another site or project?
- 6. Are direct connections to the original data graphs useful?







IN THE CKEER. AND/OR SEDIMENT IS MOVED FROM THE MUDPLATS TO THE CREEK AS WATER FILLS THE CREEKS AND MARSH. THE SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION DOES NOT DEPEND ON THE VELOCITY IN THE CREEK. Late

THE SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION

ON THE MUDPLAT IS ABOUT THE

SAME AS THE CONCENTRATION

I sediment advecting

into the creek

NOI

FLOOD

SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION ON THE CREEK. CREEK VELOCITY.

NOTE : THIS OPTION COULD MAKE A GODD GIF.

SEDIMENT IS RESUSPENDED FROM THE CREEK BED. THERE IS MORE SEDIMENT WITH GREATER

THE MUDPLAT IS DISCONNECTED FROM THE CONCENTRATION IN

SSC, in creek is from disconnected present

EBB

WAVES RESUSPEND SEDIMENT ON THE MUDPLAT

EBB

1) OPTION 2

FLOOD

SUSPENDED SEDIMENT IS CARFLED INTO THE CREEK BY THE FLOOD TIDE. THE SUS-PENDED SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION DOES NOT DEPEND ON THE VELOCITY IN THE CREEK.

WAVES RESUSPEND SEDIMENT ON THE MUDFLAT SUSPENDED SEDIMENT CONCENTRATION DEPENDS ON THE VELOCITY IN THE CREEK, WITH GREATER VELOCITY RE-SUSPENDING MOKE SEDIMENT.



GRADIENT.

· THE GREATER WATER SURFACE ELEVATION DRIVES A LARGER VELOCITY IN THE CREEK.

Show different options for phrasing (cause: effect us. effect : cause)

" AS THE EBB TIDE DRAINS THE MARSH PLAIN AND THE CREEK, THE VEGETATION AGAIN BLOCKS THE FLOW CAUSING AN INCREASE IN THE WATER SURFACE

SURFACE GRADIENT. Lo could expand the last bullet

INCREASES . THE CREEK VELOCITY INCREASES BECAUSE THE VEGETATION BLOCKS THE FLOW AND CAUSES AN INCREASE IN THE WATER

. IF THE MARSH PLAIN IS FLOODED, THEN THINGS GET INTERESTING. . ONCE THE CREEK OVERFLOWS INTO THE MARSH, THE VELOCITY IN THE CREEK

. THE WATER SURFACE GRADIENT IS BALANCED BY THE FILICTION FROM

. THE VELOCITY IS RELATIVELY CONSTANT AS THE FLOOD TIDE FILLS THE CREEK.



VEGETATION PROVIDES MORE FRICTION THAN THE TIDAL CREEKS.

or SLOWS?

WATER SURFACE GRADIENT DRIVES WATER INTO AND OUT OF THE MARSH. THE GREATER - OR STEEPER - THE GRADIENT, THE GREATER THE FORCE DRIVING THE WATER

THE SAME DYNAMICS OCCUR ON EBB. . THE VELOCITY IS RELATIVELY CONSTANT AS THE EBB TIDE PRAINS THE CREEK . THE WATER SURFACE GRADIENT IS BALANCED BY FRICTION FROM THE CREEK .THE TOTAL FLOW INTO AND OUT THE CREEK

Frneed to define "smaller" ? Helps see if it applies to Fringing marshes.

SEEN BUT TO A LESSER DEGREE.

AREA, THE SAME DYNAMICS ARE

### Process 3, Option 2

# A. NO MARSH PLAIN FLOODING

#### **B. WITH MARSH PLAIN FLOODING**



As the water overflows onto the marsh plain, there is much more available area for the water to go. The creek velocity increases as larger water volume must now pass through the creek to flood marsh plain.

FLOOD

BAY

As the water drains from the marsh plain, the vegetation blocks the flow, causing an increase in the water surface gradient (low in the Bay and high in the marsh). The increased water surface gradient drives a faster velocity in the creek.

This velocity increase is greater than that on the flood tide because the vegetation effect impacts flow from the start of the ebb tide.





Channel velocity is relatively constant and the same amount of water that fills the creek on flood drains on ebb.



#### Process 3, Option 2 continued

#### C. SPATIAL DIFFERENCES WITH MARSH PLAIN FLOODING



The increased velocity in the creek is greater near the creek mouth than in the upper creek because the lower creek drains a larger portion of the marsh. Some water that entered the marsh plain over the bay-marsh edge drains via the creek.

Marshes with smaller drainage areas will behave similarly to the upper creek.

For the marsh studied here, the inundation on the marsh plain did not greatly exceed the height of the vegetation.

Does a vegetation sketch help or distract?











#### What type of publication format might be best for this product? draw a check and/or comment below. Other format suggestions are welcome.

journal article (e.g. SFEWS)

white paper

USGS report

story map

infographic bulletin

# What terms should be included in a [expanded] glossary? draw a check

## LOOKING UP CREEK MOUTH PARTIMUY PILLED

EMPTY





(Food for thought...)



## Should the highest high tides be...



## Should the highest high tides be... King?

Or could another word of distinction better represent the highest high tides?

Extreme tide Super tide

Highest tide

Supreme tide

Maximum tide

Fill in the blank

Monster tide

Cast your vote by following the link in the chat box